

Unroofing of the southwestern Colorado Plateau from (U-Th)/He apatite thermochronometry Rebecca M. Flowers (rflowers@gps.caltech.edu), Brian P. Wernicke, and Ken A. Farley California Institute of Technology, Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences



GRAND CANYON REGION OF THE COLORADO PLATEAU

The southwestern portion, or Grand Canyon region, of the Colorado Plateau is characterized by a broad (~15,000 km2) gently NE-dipping structural terrace that is interrupted by the N-trending Kaibab uplift. The plateau surface resides at an elevation of 1500 to 2000 m, is underlain by the resistant Permian Kaibab limestone, and preserves discontinous exposures of fluvial sandstone of the Triassic Moenkopi Formation. To the northeast, progressively younger Mesozoic and Cenozoic formations are exposed in a series of cuestas known as the "Great Rock Staircase". To the west, the plateau edge is structurally delineated by major normal faults of the Basin and Range Province. The Colorado River currently drains the Colorado Plateau to the southwest, forming the Grand Canyon where it cuts across the topographically high southwestern plateau and incises to depths as great as 1600m.



conditions and so yield older dates. Increasing Tpk to 70 °C induces greater resetting of apatites with higher [eU]. A Tpk of 80 °C causes nearly complete He loss in all apatites, thereby generating a fairly uniform population of dates. Thus, burial and unroofing simulations characterized by no resetting show no correlation between date and [eU], and those affected by complete resetting yield dates that cluster fairly tightly. Only those simulations that include an episode of partial He loss can generate broad distributions of AHe dates that correlate with [eU] and [He], due to divergence of He retentivities in the apatite suite prior to partial resetting.



Three clear patterns emerge from the AHe dataset. First, the apatite dates are younger to the northeast with increasing distance from the Mogollon rim and in closer proximity to the modern scarp formed by the Mesozoic and Cenozoic units. Second, dates along the southeastern Mogollon rim (zone 2) are younger than those along its northwestern segment (zone 1). Third, apatites along the Mogollon rim (zones 1, 2, 3) yielded more consistent dates than apatites to the northeast (zones 4, 5). For this reason, results for the former are reported as weighted mean dates, while the span of dates is reported for the latter samples. Zone 5 samples are characterized by large ranges of dates correlated with [eU] and [He], and can be explained by the effect of radiation damage on He retentivity (see below).







RADIATION DAMAGE CONTROL ON (U-Th)/He APATITE DATES FROM THE SOUTHWESTERN COLORADO PLATEAU

Our results from zone 5 of the Grand Canyon region of the Colorado Plateau suggest that the increase in apatite He retentivity due to radiation damage, implied by laboratory diffusion data, is important for the interpretation of data in certain geological settings. Forward models predict that the effect of radiation damage on He retentivity will be manifested in suites of apatites with a range of [eU] that underwent a history in which the apatite He diffusion kinetics had sufficient time to diverge prior to an episode of partial resetting. A common geological history that satisfies these requirements is one like that in the Grand Canyon region involving 1) deposition of compositionally diverse apatites with variable provenance dates in sedimentary units, and 2) burial, partial He loss, and subsequent exhumation. The data suggest that in some situations, a span of AHe dates positively correlated with [eU] is geologically meaningful. Our simulations predict that the correlations between AHe date, [He] and [eU] can be very sensitive to the thermal history. Thus, it may be possible to extract additional information regarding the details of the temperaturetime path from these relationships than would be possible in a sample characterized by a uniform distribution of apatite dates.

Grand Canyon basement - We targeted crystalline basement from the Upper and Lower Granite Gorges because these rocks typically are characterized by more abundant apatite than the sedimentary units that are exposed elsewhere in the canyon. With the exception of one sample characterized by high [eU], apatites from the other samples yield younger dates in the eastern than in the western Grand Canyon.

Tertiary rim gravels - The heterogeneous dates, including results significantly older than the Tertiary depositional age of the unit, indicate that the apatites did not undergo significant He loss following deposition. The youngest AHe dates of ca. 50 Ma provide a maximum constraint on gravel aggradation.



(A) (B) Individual detrital AHe dates (symbols) as a function of [eU] and [He]. (C) Simulated thermal history, with simulated distributions of dates using the radiation damage trapping model depicted as shaded fields in (A) and (B). Samples from zones 1 can be explained by a single pulse of Laramide cooling/unroofing. These samples show fairly uniform dates, despite broad [eU] and [He] variation. This is most simply explained by peak temperatures in zone 1 that were higher and/or were maintained longer than those in zone 5, and induced complete He loss from all the apatites. We attribute these higher temperatures to the proximity of zone 1 samples to magmatic and orogenic activity in the Sevier and Mogollon orogenic systems.



a function of [eU] and [He]., for the Esplanade and Coconino samples (A) and (B), and Moenkopi samples (D) and (E). (C) Simulated thermal histories used to reproduce the Esplanade and Coconino data distributions using the radiation damage trapping model, with simulated distributions depicted as shaded fields in (A) and (B). (F) Simulated thermal histories used to reproduce the Moenkopi data using the radiation damage trapping model. with simulated distributions of dates depicted as shaded fields in (D) and (E). A single thermal history does not generate the entire spread of data, so we depict endmember thermal histories that together encompass the fan of data. Two endmember simulated distributions are depicted as separate, but overlapping, shaded fields in (D) and (E). The distributions of dates in all six samples analyzed from zone 5 can be explained by a two-pulse exhumation model involving episodes of cooling in the Laramide and Miocene (Flowers et al., in review). In contrast to the samples in zone 1, we infer that these apatites underwent incomplete He loss during burial



CL images of apatites from Moenkopi sample PGC-015 that display variable brightness and zoning characteristics.

Zone 5 - Detrital Apatite Data

10.0





Flowers, R.M., Shuster, D.L., Wernicke, B.P., and Farley, K.A., in review, Radiation damage control on apatite (U-Th)/He dates from the Grand Canyon region, Colorado Plateau: Geology. Pierce, H. W., Damon, P.E., and Shafiqullah, 1979, An Oligocene (?) Colorado Plateau edge in Arizona: Tectonophysics, v. 61, p. 1-24. Potochnik, A.R., 1989, Depositional style and tectonic implications of the Mogollon Rim Formation (Eocene), East-Central Arizona: new Mexico Geological Society Guidebook, 40th Field Conference, Southeastern Colorado Plateau, p. 107-118. Shuster, D.L., Flowers, R.M., and Farley, K.A., 2006, The influence of natural radiation damage on helium diffusion kinetics in apatite: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v.249, p. 148-161. Young, R. A., 1979, Laramide deformation, erosion and plutonism along the southwestern margin of the Colorado Plateau: Tectonophysics, v. 61, p. 25-47.

Young, R. A., and Spamer, E. E., 2001, The Laramide-Paleogene history of the western Grand Canyon region: Setting the stage, in Young, R. A. a. S., E.E., ed., Colorado River Origin and Evolution, Grand Canyon Association, p. 47-52.