



# COSI-Corr 2011

## On-going studies and future developments

Sébastien Leprince, François Ayoub, and Jean-Philippe Avouac  
California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA



The Co-registration of Optically Sensed Images and Correlation (COSI-Corr) software, which was first released on January 2007, is continuously evolving. This poster shows our latest contributions and on-going studies to make COSI-Corr a fully automated, robust, fast, and extremely accurate tool, for the study of time series from a variety of optical sensors. Our latest developments concern not only new technical algorithms such as improved adaptive resampling, automatic and robust tie-points matching, compensation of aliasing effects in sub-pixel phase correlation, and sensor-agnostic topography extraction, they also concern new software development methods.

As COSI-Corr is increasing in complexity, we now must adopt the rigorous development procedures in effect in the software industry. Low level languages, multi-threaded processing and adequate source control and bug tracking are now integrated in our development cycle. In addition, our ambition is taking us toward large scale processing and analysis, and cluster and cloud computing solutions are being explored. As COSI-Corr is growing, the expertise of many more people is required. For several studies, you will notice the participation of additional collaborators. Orchestrating the many new projects is a challenging and exciting task.

### Rigorous Adaptive Resampling for High Resolution Image Warping

**General resampling kernel in sensor geometry:**

$$p_r(x) = r_s(x) \otimes h_x(\mathbf{J}x) |J|$$

- $r_s$  reconstruction kernel in sensor geometry,
- $h_x$  anti-aliasing filter in the mapped geometry (on the ground),
- $J$  Jacobian of the direct warping function.

**Building the equivalent resampling kernel: formulation in the Fourier domain**

Kernel is a standard, separable, kernel

Kernel is explicit convolution of the input and warped output kernels.

Warped output kernel defined on upsampled grid, then filtered and downsampled via FFT

Kernel is the warped output filter. Generally non-separable

**Resampling kernels for simple geometrical transforms**

45 degrees rotation

Impulse response, Kernel Spectrum, Image Spectrum, Image Spectrum with oversampling

Shear

Impulse response, Kernel Spectrum

For input and output images defined on square lattices

Input reconstruction kernel

Warped output filter

### Epipolar Perpendicular Projection: Suppressing Topo Biases

NS component of a given displacement field obtained from sub-pixel correlation of aerial images

EW-NS displacement field projected along the local perpendicular epipolar direction. These measurements are free of topographic biases

D lives in the plane  $(O_1, MO_2)$ , called the epipolar plane. Any ground deformation measured in the direction perpendicular to this plane is therefore insensitive to topographic errors or changes.

DEM error h

real topography

topography from DEM

EW component of the displacement field

EW-NS displacement field projected along the local epipolar direction. These measurements are a combination of horizontal and vertical displacements

Projection on the ground of the epipolar direction between the two images used for the correlation showed on the left

See James Hollingsworth poster for more details on this study

### Robust Selection of tie-points between multi-temporal images

We developed a method, APERS (Affine Parameters Estimation by Random Sampling), to robustly identify correct and false matches from automatic feature matching algorithms such as SIFT (Scale Invariant Feature Transform). Correct matches are defined such that they follow a global affine transform. Outliers in the matching are always correctly identified up to a proportion of 90% of outliers.

Below, an example of tie-points matching using SPOT 5 images before and after the El Mayor Cucapah earthquake, Mw 7.2, from April 4th, 2010. The fault area was masked to avoid biasing subsequent registration.

164 outliers (18%) detected (red) among 926 matches. Correct matches in green (82%)

Slave Image with correct tie points

Master Image with correct tie points

Collaboration with Simon Beckouche, ENS Cachan. See his poster for technical details.

### Resampled Image

Orthorectification using non-separable and non-adaptive resampling kernel

Orthorectification using separable and adaptive resampling kernel

Local image spectrum of resampled image

Magnitude of displacement field as recovered from sub-pixel cross-correlation over a pair of SPOT images

### Processing of images from planetary surfaces, in particular HiRISE images from Mars

Characterization of geometrical artifacts in HiRISE images. We detect CCD and jitter artifacts and assess the accuracy of standard ISIS procedures as provided by the USGS. A newly developed sensor model will allow accurate co-registration of multi-temporal images from planetary imagers.

1m postspacing DEM that was extracted from the USGS over the Columbia Hills area from HiRISE images. Along-track artifacts in the DEM are visible.

Map of horizontal offsets measured between 2 HiRISE images acquired over the Hephaestus Fossae area. The correlation was processed with COSI-Corr with a decreasing window size, 512 to 32 pixels, and with a sliding step of 16 pixels.

Study in collaboration with Nathan Bridges, Applied Physics Laboratory, MD

### Aliasing Effects in Phase Correlation: First Steps Toward Unbiased Measurements

Observations and simulation

Theoretical considerations and bias reduction

Aliasing generated artifacts in correlation results

Aliasing artifacts can be reproduced in simulation

Let's consider a positive aliased frequency  $\omega \in [0, \frac{\omega_c}{2}] = [0, \pi]$

When we consider the expectation of  $c$  we get the expectation of crossed terms is equal to 0

for  $\omega \in [0, \frac{\omega_c}{2}] = [0, \pi]$

for  $\omega \in [-\frac{\omega_c}{2}, 0] = [-\pi, 0]$

Instead of minimizing  $|corr - \exp(i\omega x)|^2$  we minimize

for positive frequencies

for negative frequencies

This equation is assuming a linearly decreasing MTF for simplicity

Blue: 1-D disparity, in pixels, recovered from a linearly stretched image fully aliased.

Red: 1-D disparity recovered when the aliasing model is accounted for in the formulation

Study with Thomas Puzit, ENS Cachan, France

### Improved Development Process for Quality Software

- Fast processing using C++ Intel compiler and Intel Math libraries
  - Multi-threaded code
  - Distributed source control
  - Automatic builds
  - Bug tracking system
  - Automatic testing procedures
  - Portable code for Linux and Windows (Mac coming soon)
  - 32 and 64 bits binaries
- Work in collaboration with Jiao Lin and Michael Aivasiz, Caltech CACR

### Topography Extraction from Stereo-pairs

Find  $M'$  s.t.  $d(p_2, p_2')$  is min

assumed topography

Real topography point

$M_1$  is the point on the ground seen by the pixel  $p_1$ , assuming some topography model. The disparity map computed between the two pseudo ortho-images gives a disparity  $D$  at  $M_1$ . Via inverse projection, the pixel  $p_1$  in image 1 should then be mapped to the pixel  $p_2$  in image 2. The real topography point  $M$  is found when the distance between  $p_2$  and  $p_2'$  is minimum. The pixel  $p_2'$  is the projection in the focal plane of image 2 of the point  $M'$ , which belongs to the ray  $O_2 p_1$ . This formulation satisfies the fundamental epipolar constraint and can be used irrespective of the sensor geometry.

### References:

S. Leprince, S. Barbot, F. Ayoub and J. P. Avouac, "Automatic and Precise Ortho-rectification, Coregistration, and Subpixel Correlation of Satellite Images, Application to Ground Deformation Measurements", IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, Vol.45, No.6, June 2007.

F. Ayoub, S. Leprince and J.P. Avouac, "Co-registration and Correlation of Aerial Photographs for Ground Deformation Measurements," ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, Volume 64, Issue 6, Pages 551-560, November 2009

P. Heckbert, "Fundamentals of texture mapping and image warping," Master's thesis, Technical Report No. UCB/CSD-89-516, University of California, Berkeley, 1989.

David G. Lowe, "Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints," International Journal of Computer Vision, 60, 2 (2004), pp. 91-110.

H. Stone, M. Orchard, E.C. Chang, "Subpixel Registration of Images," Proceedings of the 1999 Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, and Computers, 1999

### Distributed Cluster Computing for Fast and Large-Scale Analysis

Current structure to be distributed. For now, we concentrate on the correlation

Distributed architectures we are investigating

Images are tiled and distributed across multiple nodes

Images are distributed across multiple nodes but the process of each image pair is local to a node

For each architecture:

- Complete benchmarking
- Portable code
- Study the possibility for both cloud and cluster computing with web access

Study part of a Harvey Mudd Computer Science Clinic project 2010-2011