

(1) Seismological Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA. (2) Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA. (2) Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA. (3) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Department of Earth and Ithaca, NY 14853, United States. (4) Departme

Abstract

The occurrence of the great 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake offshore northern Honshu, Japan immediately raised concerns about a potential future earthquake just to the south and closer to Tokyo. The extent to which this region of the subduction megathrust fault is locked Squares inversion with weights defined as the inverse of the misfit variances. We and accumulating elastic stress to be released in a future earthquake is not well constrained. Concerns have been heightened with constrained with constrained. the proximal 2011 earthquake potentially bringing this region closer to rupture. Alternatively, this portion of the fault may have an $\psi(m) = \|W_d (Gm - d)\|_2^2 + \lambda^2 \|W_R Tm\|_2^2$ observations, particularly those from geodetic networks, permit one to explore the spatial relationship between co-seismic fault slip, postseismic fault slip and seismicity and to thereby constrain the style of slip on the megathrust fault. Using a novel inference scheme, we W is the misfit weights matrix (inverse of covariance), G is the design find that the distribution of post-seismic fault slip occurs mainly down-dip and south of the source region of the Tohoku-Oki mainshock $| \mathbf{I} |$ matrix of the problem, m is the after slip, λ is a parameter to define the strength of with negligible slip in regions that slipped during the main rupture. At a spatial resolution near the trench of 100 km along strike and 60 [1] the regularization, and d is the vector of observations at the GPS sites. The form of km along dip, the shallowest portion of the megathrust offshore Ibaraki Prefecture experienced over 1 m of aseismic slip in the 18 months **[1]** regularization adopted is to minimize a weighted Laplacian operator (T) applied following the earthquake -- actual slip amplitudes maybe larger if they occur over a smaller scale. The spatio-temporal complexity of the smoothing 38 inferred fault slip suggests strong spatial heterogeneity of the mechanical properties on the shallow-most **[]** operator T, defined as a function of the sensitivity of the fault patches. region of the Japan Trench megathrust located just south of the rupture area of the 2011 (Mw 9.0) Tohoku-Oki earthquake may behave aseismically, thus diminishing the potential (but not excluding the possibility) for a large future earthquake in the region.



Before the Tohoku-oki earthquake the Tohoku region of the Japan Trench megathrust was considered to have a moderate seismic hazard and many inter-seismic coupling models suggested that regions of significant fault coupling were broadly limited to regions that had experienced earthquakes over the last centuries - with either negligible or limited coupling in much of the region that slipped co seismically during 2011. Thus, the Tohoku-oki earthquake underscored our need to improve our understanding and assessment of the spatial variability in the slip budget integrated throughout the seismic cycle at subduction megathrust, essential for both the understandin of the rheological nature of the megathrust as well as for seismic hazard assessment. We are particularly concerned with the region of shore Ibaraki, just south of the rupture area of the Tohoku-oki earthquake, where the potential for a large earthquake is still unclear.

Continuous GPS Data Processing

Raw data from cGPS sites is processed using the software GIPSY (Japan) to produce up to 16 years long positional time series for each site on the GPS network. The positional time series contain a secular motion due to interseismic tectonic loading, earthquake associated signals (co- and post- seismic), a seasonal term associated with weather hydrologic forcing, as well as anthropogenic signals such as changes in hardware, or location of each site.

We identify and separate the different signals in the positional time series by an iterative process in which a motion coherent to the whole continuous GPS network is also estimated and removed, allowing a precise estimation of the features present in the time series.







ie co-seismic iump corresponds to the one hoku-Oki earthauake. The inset s the post-seismic motion induced by such event as well as signals from several aftershocks.

lel used to separate the signals present in the positional eries. A weighted least squares fit is performed to obtain e contribution of each signal in the positional data. Not that the earthquake and hardware changes times are assumed to be known, so inspection of all time series is required.





hinge line change from off-shore for the mainshock to inland for the post-seismic displacements.

Post-seismic Deformation of the Great 11 March 2011 Tohoku-Oki (Mw 9.0) Earthquake and Mechanical Heterogeneity of the Megathrust

Francisco Ortega^{1,4} (ortega@gps.caltech.edu), Mark Simons¹, Susan Owen², Angelyn Moore², Rowena Lohman³

Least Squares Slip Inversion and Variable Regularization Approach To solve for after slip of the Tohoku-Oki earthquake we perform a damped Least

The sensitivity of the fault patches (S_{R} , Figure 5) is defined as the squared surface displacements, integrated throughout the GPS network, due to an unit dislocation at the fault patch. We propose that the sensitivity can be interpreted as a measure of fault patch slip "resolution" as it indicates its capacity to contribute to the fault slip model predicted displacements at the GPS network. Note the high variability of S_{R_1} , 100 m of slip near the trench is equivalent to 1 m of slip at the coastline.

To account for the variability in sensitivity during the inversion, we modulate strength of the Laplacian operator in function of the sensitivity of the patch. We define such a strengthening factors W_R as the square root of the reciprocal of the patch's sensitivity. Then,

$$W_R = \sqrt{S_R^{-1}} S_R = \frac{1}{c} diag(G^t G) \quad c = \text{MAX}(diag(G^t G))$$

The net impact of the modulated smoothing is to adjust the correlation length of the slip at the patches according to the strength of the constraints provided by the observables. Thus allowing more complex (rougher) slip distributions at the well constrained regions of the megathrust while imposing smoother slip distributions at the least constrained ones.

Checkerboard Test

To show the importance of using the sensitivity modulated Laplacian operator to smooth the afterslip, we perform 2 checkerboard tests, with an uniform (constant) Laplacian operator and with the sensitivity modulated Laplacian operator defined in this work. We use 2 synthetic datasets that differ only in the realization of the random noise. Note how the sensitivity regularization able us to recover a much more stable slip distribution, an overall rougher model while imposing stronger smoothing at the regions with lower sensitivity and to consistently achieve a better recovery of the target slip distribution. The main advantage of the proposed regularization is that we are able to make an interpretation of the obtained slip distribution at the regions with low sensitivity as a low resolution average of the true slip. The value recovered is close to the spatial average of the target slip.



least sensitive regions of the fault surface. For comparison purposes, the roughness is computed as $||T \cdot m||_2$ for both regularization cases.



with

on-shore GPS network.

We use the geodetic data shown in Figure 4 to invert for post-seismic slip models associated to the 2011 Tohoku-oki (Mw9.0) earthquake. Here we show an after-slip model that was selected as a representative model of the solution space of the inverse problem. A criteria of compatibility with independent geophysical observations in conjunction with the L-curve criteria were used to select the strength of the regularization (damping parameter). After-slip occurs mostly downdip and south of the rupture region of the Tohoku-oki main shock. Note the compacity and rich spatial variation of the inferred after-slip.



Figure 8: Spatio-temporal evolution of after-slip during the 18 months following the Tohoku-Oki mainshock. Afterslip is estimated for non-overlapping contiguous time windows with sizes that preserve that preserve the signal to noise ratio of the GPS inferred post-seismic displacements on each time interval. Note the transient event offshore Ibaraki that seems to reach the trench at the time window shown in panel (c). All shown solutions have an equivalent moment magnitude of Mw 8.2 and where obtained using the same damping constant. Other features as in Figure 7a.





Results and Discussion

through a grid search process. (b) Residual between estimates of decay time in (a) and a linear trend as a function of the distance between GPS site and trench shown in (c).

We develop a spatially variable regularization based on fault sensitivity that presents a real improvement for the least squares slip inversion problem over previous uniform

Overall we observe extremely heterogeneous characteristics of the distributions

magnitude Mw 8.2. This added to inferences of low plate coupling in shuch region (e.g., Suwa et al., 2006; Loveless and Meade, 2010, 2011) and the low seismicity in the region, all suggest that aseismic slip may be the predominant behavior of the resolution of our models in regions of the megathrust distant from onland geodetic observations and that inferences of coupling are low but not null, the potential for a large earthquake off-shore Ibaraki remains unclear. The geodetic inferred long term slip rate accumulation at the Japan trench is considerably larger than the one released N by historical earthquakes and the inferred after-slip only accounts for a fraction of the include the occurrence of Tohoku-oki type earthquakes, episodes of aseismic slip, or

The limitations of the inverse problem posed by the spatial distribution of the available geodetic measurements (on-shore) makes impossible to discriminate which mechanisms are responsible for balancing the slip budget off-shore Ibaraki. We need to implement a continuous seafloor monitoring to accurately assess the mechanical behavior of the shallowmost portion of the Japan Trench megathrust.