### Investigation of Jura Mountain Range Formation July 30, 2010 California Institute of Technology Summer Research Connection



Source: Jacek Photo

Mentors: Kristel Chanard Dr. Nadaya Cubas Researchers: Arvin Javadi Jessica Medel Edgar Salmingo, Jr., M.A.

## Theory of Plate Tectonics: Introduction

- Earth consists of three main layers: core, mantle and crust
- The crust is consisted of the lithosphere (the outer-most layer) and a section of the upper mantle.
- The crust is much more rigid than the mantle



# Theory of Plate Tectonics: World Map

- The crust is broken up into different pieces, called "plates".
- These plates move independently of one another



Source: U.S. Geological Survey

## Plate Tectonics: A History



Source: Jean Besse, Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris

# Plate Tectonics: Convergent Boundaries

•Plates consist of continental and/or oceanic crust

•Oceanic crust is the denser of the two



## Plate Tectonics: Convection



Source: National Geographic

## **Faults and Folds**

•When collisions occur between two plates, two different types of results can occur

• Faults occur when the crust "breaks"- the different layers of sand don't align

•Folds occur on the surface, causing bends in the crust

• These faults and folds, at a convergent boundary, accumulate to form mountains

## Faults



# Folds

### Syncline Fold (Downwards)



http://4.bp.blogspot.com/\_u8RzBC9dWv0/SDh1Q38mdVI/ AAAAAAAAAXQ/zE-GiMjX778/s400/DSC01517+Barstow +syncline+c.jpg

### Anticline (Upwards)



http://flickrfanstan.files.wordpress.com/2009/10/dramatic-folds-faults.jpg

# **Goals: Create Scale Model of the Jura Mountain Range**

-- Test the hypothesis of the formation of the Jura Mountain Range through a sandbox experiment

-- Run several control sandbox experiments with varying friction and analyze resulting faults, folds, and deformations

- Use quantitative and qualitative data from control experiments to find ideal parameters to simulate Jura Mountain Range



# Significance of Scale Model Reproduction

-- Understand topographic formations, such as mountain ranges

-- More accurately validate mechanical predictions and test hypotheses to understand the influence of geometrical and physical parameters

- Produce a portable model that can educate elementary and high school students about mountain formation



## Why the Jura Mountain Range?



- Jura consists of a sequence of faults and folds

- Jura is the Front of the Alps
- Only theoretical (not much physical) analysis has been done here

- The Jurassic Era was named for the Jura mountains by Alexander von Humboldt

- Constant tectonic plate movement is pushing the range higher

## Why the Jura Mountain Range?



Source: Google Earth

### Sections of the Jura Mountain Range



Source: Google Earth



## Salt Thickness of Jura

Geometry of the fold and thrust belt is directly related to friction properties of the basement



#### James Hall

-Founder of the experimental geology

-In 1815 he discovered that a horizontal force is necessary in order to form mountains





#### Henry Cadell

- Conducted the first sandbox experiment in 1893

- Used sand and plaster to simulate the construction of a mountain

- Discovered that the pushing of sand creates a wedge shape formed by faults and folds, as seen in mountains





## How to Create a Scalable Model

Mountain range : 200km x 200km x 8km



Sandbox : 30cm x 40cm x 15mm



- 1) Length, width, height
- 2) Density
- 3) Viscosity
- 4) Stress
- 5) Deformation
- 6) Time
- 7) Velocity

### How to Create a Scalable Model

#### **Dimensions**

Length:  $L^*=L_b/L$ Width:  $W^*=W_b/W$ Height:  $H^*=H_b/H$ 

 $L_{b,}W_{b}$ , $H_{b}$  are dimensions of the box

Mountain range : 200km x 200km x 8km Sandbox : 30cm x 40cm x 15mm

Strain Rate

$$\Sigma * = \sigma^* / \eta *$$

σ\* stress ratioη\* viscosity ratio

#### <u>Stress</u>

 $\sigma * = p*g*L*$ 

p\*=density ratio; g\*=gravity ratio=1 Density of Sedimentary Rocks: 2550 kg/m<sup>3</sup> Density of Sand: 1500 kg/m<sup>3</sup>



## Sandbox v. Jura Mountain Range

	Sandbox	Jura Mountains	Ratio
Length	40 [cm]	200 [km]	2.0 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>
Width	30 [cm]	200 [km]	1.5 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>
Height	15 [mm]	8 [km]	1.9 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>
Density of Crust	1,500 [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	2,550 [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	0.59
Gravity	9.8 [m/s <sup>2</sup> ]	9.8 [m/s <sup>2</sup> ]	1
Stress*	$5880 [N/m^2]$	$5.0 \times 10^9 [N/m^2]$	1.17 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>
Viscosity <sup>+</sup>	200 [Pa·s]#	10 <sup>18</sup> [Pa·s]	2.0 x 10 <sup>-16</sup>
Deformation*	N/A	N/A	$5.88 \times 10^9$
Time	7.44 Hours	5 [Ma]	1.7 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>

\* Calculated value (not measured)

+ Ratio/Value only needed when using viscous material

# Viscosity of peanut butter

## Sandbox Experiment Outline

### 1. Sandbox Design

#### 2. Horizontal Force

- 3. Internal and Basal Friction
- 4. Control Experiments
- 5. Cross-Section Analysis





## **Constructing a Plexiglass Box**



## Sandbox Experiment Outline

1. Sandbox Design

#### **2.** Horizontal Force

- 3. Internal and Basal Friction
- 4. Control Experiments
- 5. Cross-Section Analysis



## **Final Horizontal Force Design**



## Sandbox Experiment Outline

- 1. Sandbox Design
- 2. Horizontal Force

#### **3. Internal and Basal** Friction

- 4. Control Experiments
- 5. Cross-Section Analysis



## **Three Types of Sandpaper**



#### Basal and Internal Friction

•Basal friction refers to the amount of friction of the sand against the base of the box (ie. Plexiglas)

•Measured through:



•Internal friction refers to friction of sand against sand •Measured through:

leight SAND Length

## How to Measure Friction



## Sandbox Experiment Outline

- 1. Sandbox Design
- 2. Horizontal Force
- 3. Internal and Basal Friction

### 4. Control Experiments

5. Cross-Section Analysis



## **Video Summary of Experiments**



## Sandbox Experiment Outline

- 1. Sandbox Design
- 2. Horizontal Force
- 3. Internal and Basal Friction
- 4. Control Experiments

### 5. Cross-Section Analysis



## How to Create a Cross-Section for Analysis



## **Critical Taper**



- The Critical Taper is the angle of topographic slope
- Critical Taper =

tan (**θ** b)

1+ f (tan ( $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ))

critical taper = more topography

critical taper = less topography

## **Plexiglass Only Experiment**

### **Schematic of Base**

**BASE (Plexiglass)** 

### **Picture of Base**



### **Top View of Deformation**



# Plexiglass Only Experiment Cross-Section of Sandbox



### <u>Results</u>

<b>Internal Friction:</b>	18.5 °
<b>Basal Friction:</b>	19.9 <b>°</b>
Critical Taper:	7.43 °

# Half Plexiglass, Half 60 Grit Experiment

### **Schematic of Base**

Sandpaper (60 Grit)

**BASE (Plexiglas)** 

Plexiglass

### **Picture of Base**



### **Top View of Deformation**



# Half Plexiglass, Half 60 Grit Experiment <u>Cross-Section of Sandbox</u>



### <u>Results</u>

<b>Internal Friction:</b>	18.5 <b>°</b>
<b>Basal Friction:</b>	27.0°
Critical Taper:	17.79 <b>°</b>

## **Honey Only Experiment**

### **Schematic of Base**



### **Picture of Base**



### **Top View of Deformation**



## **Honey Only Experiment**

### **Cross-Section of Sandbox**



### <u>Results</u>

Internal Friction:	18.5 <b>°</b>
<b>Basal Friction:</b>	N/A
Critical Taper:	20.43°

# Half 600 Grit, Half 60 Grit Experiment

### **Schematic of Base**



### **Picture of Base**



### **Top View of Deformation**



## Half 600 Grit, Half 60 Grit Experiment

### **Cross-Section of Sandbox**



### **Results**

Internal Friction: Basal Friction: Basal Friction: Critical Taper: Critical Taper: 18.5° 23.7°(600 G) 27° (60 G) 10.16° (600 G) 17.79° (60 G)

### Final Words-What did we learn?

- Experiments with plexiglass base have large propagations and small critical tapers ~7 degrees.
- Experiments with sand paper have less propagation and large critical tapers; as well as less faults
  - The average taper for 60 Grit was ~17 degrees
  - The average taper for 600 Grit was ~10 degrees

## Final Words (con.)

Plexiglass results (smooth, less friction) symbolize Northern regions

 600 Grit (medium friction) results symbolize Middle regions

60 Grit (most friction) results symbolize
Southern regions

\*Qualitative; best results



### Final Words (cont.)

• In other words, we have proven that the friction conditions and geology of the region did in fact influence the way the Jura Mountains were created

# **Future Directions**

- Create a machine automated sandbox with greater precision
  - Perform sandbox compressions in scaled times
  - Continue testing more types of base materials with different grits of sandpaper
  - Use high-quality viscous material such as silicone
  - Perform experiments of greater accuracy and specificity regarding the Jura Mountains
  - Find a way to measure stress and strain forces with electronic sensors to compare to mechanical prediction
  - Prototype for future large scale experiments
  - Educational outreach programs

## Acknowledgements

#### Mentors

Kristel Chanard Dr. Nadaya Cubas Dr. Jean-Philippe Avouac CalTech Tectonics Observatory

#### <u>Advisors</u>

James Maloney Dr. Sherry Tsai Dr. Laurie Kovalenko

#### **Sponsors**

Howard Hughes Medical Institute Siemens Foundation



#### <u>Supporters</u>

Ms. Tuason Long Beach Aquarium of the Pacific Javadi Family Medel Family Salmingo Family

## Thank you for your time!



Source: Hollywood Today