# brief communications

explaining why rupture ceased. The CMT focal mechanism reflects the arc-normal component of convergence: 15–25 mm per yr.

If the entire aftershock zone slipped, then strain accumulated on the northern part of the rupture has been released. There is therefore no immediate threat of an oceanwide tsunami being generated by slip on this segment of the plate boundary, because such earthquakes should be at least 400 years apart. However, the danger of a large tsunami resulting from a great earthquake on segments to the south remains.

## Seth Stein, Emile A. Okal

Department of Geological Sciences, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

- e-mail: seth@earth.northwestern.edu
- 1. Stein, S. & Geller, R. J. Phys. Earth 25, 117–142 (1977).
- Dahlen, F. A. & Sailor, R. V. *Geophys. J.* 58, 609–624 (1979).
  Geller, R. & Stein, S. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 69, 1671–1691 (1979).
- Stein, S. & Nunn, J. Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am. 71, 1031–1047 (1997).
- 5. Dahlen, F. A. & Tromp, J. Theoretical Global Seismology
- (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1998).
- Ji, C. http://neic.usgs.gov/neis/eq\_depot/2004/eq\_041226/ neic\_slav\_ff.html
- 7. Park, J. http://www.iris.iris.edu/sumatra
- 8. Okal, E. A. & Synolakis, C. E. Geophys. J. Int. 158, 899-912 (2004).
- 9. Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory http://www.pmel.noaa.gov/tsunami/research.html
- Sella, G. F., Dixon, T. H. & Mao, A. J. Geophys. Res. 107, doi:10.1029/2000JB000033 (2002).
- Chamot-Rooke, N. & Le Pichon, X. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 173, 439–455 (1999).
- Curray, J. R. et al. in Geological and Geophysical Investigations of Continental Margins (eds Watkins, J. S. et al.) 189–198 (Am. Assoc, Pet. Geol., Tulsa. Oklahoma. 1979).
- 13. Bird, P. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 4,
- doi:10.1029/2001GC000252 (2003).

Competing financial interests: declared none.

.....

#### Seismology

# Energy radiation from the Sumatra earthquake

e determined the duration of highfrequency energy radiation from Indonesia's great Sumatra–Andaman earthquake (26 December 2004) to be about 500 seconds. This duration can be translated into a rupture length of about 1,200 km, which is more than twice as long as that inferred from body-wave analyses performed soon after the event. Our analysis was able rapidly to define the extent of rupture, thereby aiding the assessment of seismic hazard in the immediate future.

Soon after the Sumatra–Andaman earthquake, seismic body-wave studies in the period range of 10 to 50 s indicated that there had been a slip distribution over a 400-km segment<sup>1–3</sup> (Fig. 1a). These methods for rapid assessment of major earthquakes rely on the extended P-wave train to deduce the source rupture pattern. But when an event lasts longer than the period between the later-phase PP and P waves, a problem arises in determining the source duration.

Figure 1b shows the observed displacement seismogram for a seismic event of magnitude 7.1 (which occurred near the



**Figure 1** Frequency of radiation from the 2004 Sumatra–Andaman earthquake. **a**, Rupture-termination points of the earthquake estimated from body-wave inversion (blue square) and from high-frequency radiation (red square) calculations; green square, candidate for termination point (see supplementary information). Red star, earthquake epicentre; circles, aftershock locations; black stars, locations of large foreshocks and aftershocks. **b**, Typical teleseismic seismograms (broadband) showing P waves before (top) and after (bottom) high-bandpass (2–4 Hz) filtering; later phases are removed by attenuation. D = 64 degrees. **c**, Enveloped high-frequency seismogram comparing the main shock (red) with smaller events (orange) at the same station. **d**, Smoothed envelopes (2–4-Hz bandpassed) of the main shock as a function of azimuth (horizontal angle): shorter wave trains are evident in the direction of rupture (azimuth about 340°). Red dots, estimated end of the source duration.

epicentre of the 26 December earthquake (Fig. 1a, red star) on 2 November 2002). The phases PP and PPP are apparent, but are seen to disappear at high frequency (in the range 2 to 4 Hz) because of attenuation in the Earth's mantle<sup>4</sup>. We therefore analysed high-frequency radiation from the Sumatra earthquake by determining amplitude–time envelopes and smoothing them as described<sup>4</sup> (see supplementary information). Comparison of the smoothed envelopes for the main shock of this event with three smaller foreand aftershocks shows that the amplitude and duration of the main shock are much larger (Fig. 1c).

Figure 1d plots the envelopes for the main shock as a function of azimuth (angular distance from the horizon). Each envelope shows a short rise time, then a relatively flat, sustained portion, which is followed by rapid decay. The envelope duration (red dots in Fig. 1d) reveals a clear azimuthal pattern of 400 s in the direction of rupture (at about 340°) to 590 s in the opposite direction. We assume that these high-frequency signals are derived mostly from the rupture front<sup>5</sup>. From the range of the azimuthal variation in duration (190 s), we determine the rupture length to be 1,200 km - the longest ever recorded. Then, from the average duration (about 500 s), we can derive the average rupture speed as  $2.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

The rupture length is comparable to the length of the aftershock distribution (Fig. 1a). The rupture was substantially longer than that of the 1960 great Chilean earthquake<sup>6</sup> (340 s), and had a larger magnitude of 9.5, but the tectonic setting at Sumatra is very different from that at Chile. In Chile, the age of the subducting plate is young (15 million years old) and the plate convergence is nearly normal to the trench, whereas in Sumatra the subducting plate is older (more than 60 million years old) and the plate convergence is oblique, especially in the north. This difference could be responsible for the difference in slip behaviour between the two events, and hence the disparities in rupture length and magnitude.

The high-frequency radiation reflects only the propagation of the rupture front, so it alone cannot uniquely determine the slip distribution. Modelling of long-period surface waves and normal modes will eventually constrain the spatiotemporal distribution of slip. Despite such uncertainty, our simple analysis at high frequency provides an accurate and rapid determination of the duration and rupture length of this earthquake, which are important for rapid assessment of immediate seismic hazard in the area.

# Sidao Ni\*†, Hiroo Kanamori†,

# Don Helmberger†

\* School of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026. China

## e-mail: sdni@ustc.edu.cn

† Tectonics Observatory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA

- 1. Ji, C. www.gps.caltech.edu/~jichen
- Yamanaka, Y. www.eri.u tokyo.ac.jp/sanchu/Seismo\_Note/
- 3. Yagi, Y. http://iisee.kenken.go.jp/staff/yagi/eq/
- Sumatra2004/Sumatra2004.html
- 4. Shearer, P. M. & Earle, P. S. Geophys. J. Int. 158, 1103-1117 (2004).
- 5. Madariaga, R. Ann. Geophys. 1, 17-23 (1983).
- 6. Houston, H. & Kanamori, H. Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am. 76, 19-42

(1986). Supplementary information accompanies this communication on Nature's website.

Competing financial interests: declared none.